

**UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL  
on  
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION**



**IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE  
LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2804

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)


**CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO -93)**

On December 5, 2017, the Panel transferred 62 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. *See* 290 F.Supp.3d 1375 (J.P.M.L. 2017). Since that time, 1,455 additional action(s) have been transferred to the Northern District of Ohio. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Northern District of Ohio and assigned to Judge Polster.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Northern District of Ohio for the reasons stated in the order of December 5, 2017, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.



FOR THE PANEL:

John W. Nichols  
Clerk of the Panel

I hereby certify that this instrument is a true and correct copy of the original on file in my office. Attest: Sandy Opacich, Clerk  
U.S. District Court, Northern District of Ohio  
By: /s/Robert Pitts  
Deputy Clerk



**IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE  
LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2804

**SCHEDULE CTO-93 – TAG-ALONG ACTIONS**

<b><u>DIST</u></b>	<b><u>DIV.</u></b>	<b><u>C.A.NO.</u></b>	<b><u>CASE CAPTION</u></b>
CALIFORNIA CENTRAL			
<del>CAC</del>	8	<del>19-00545</del>	<del>United HealthCare Services Inc. v. Insys Therapeutics, Inc.</del> Opposed 5/23/19
CALIFORNIA EASTERN			
CAE	2	19-00813	County of Yolo, et al., v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al
FLORIDA MIDDLE			
FLM	8	19-01083	Sarasota County, Florida v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
FLORIDA SOUTHERN			
FLS	0	19-61172	Broward Behavioral Health Coalition v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
MINNESOTA			
MN	0	19-01211	Meeker County, Minnesota v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
MN	0	19-01212	Roseau County v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
MISSISSIPPI NORTHERN			
MSN	3	19-00095	Lafayette County, Mississippi v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al
MISSOURI EASTERN			
MOE	4	19-01223	Lowry v. Purdue Pharma, Inc. et al
NEW MEXICO			
NM	2	19-00414	Board of County Commissioners of the County of Curry, New Mexico v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al

# NORTH CAROLINA EASTERN

NCE	5	19-00202	Granville County v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al
-----	---	----------	--

# NORTH CAROLINA MIDDLE

NCM	1	19-00468	GUILFORD COUNTY v. AMERISOURCEBERGEN DRUG CORPORATION et al
NCM	1	19-00491	DURHAM COUNTY v. AMERISOURCEBERGEN DRUG CORPORATION et al

# TENNESSEE MIDDLE

<del>TNM</del>	2	<del>19-00038</del>	<del>Dunaway et al v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al</del> Vacated 5/24/19
TNM	3	19-00370	Patients' Choice Medical Center of Erin, Tennessee v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al

# TEXAS SOUTHERN

<del>TXS</del>	4	<del>19-01637</del>	<del>Johnson County, Texas v. CVS Health Corporation et al</del> Opposed 5/22/19
----------------	---	---------------------	--